FAN VA TADQIQOT SAMARALARI
xalqaro ilmiy–amaliy konferensiya
www.academicsbook.com
Vol: 2 Issue: 2 (2025)

TASK-BASED LANGUAGE TEACHING (TBLT) AND TRADITIONAL GRAMMAR-BASED INSTRUCTION, A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF THEIR EFFECTIVENESS IN SECOND LANGUAGE ACQUISITION

Makhmudova Maftuna Aktam qizi
Teacher at School 34, Shaykhontakhur district
E-mail: maftuna.mahmudova.1996@mail.ru
UDK: 37.016

Annotation. *This paper presents a comparative analysis of Task-Based Language Teaching (TBLT) and traditional grammar-based instruction, two distinct approaches to second language acquisition (SLA). TBLT, rooted in communicative language teaching and constructivist theories, emphasizes learning through meaningful, real-world tasks that promote implicit grammar acquisition and communicative competence. In contrast, traditional grammar-based instruction is founded on structuralist and behaviorist theories, focusing on explicit grammar teaching through systematic rule explanation and repetitive practice, thereby enhancing grammatical accuracy. The discussion explores the theoretical foundations, classroom dynamics, and learner engagement associated with each approach. It highlights that TBLT creates an interactive, learner-centered environment fostering fluency and motivation, while traditional methods offer a structured framework conducive to precise grammatical knowledge. Ultimately, the analysis advocates for a blended approach that integrates the spontaneity and real-world applicability of TBLT with the methodological rigor of traditional grammar-based instruction, thereby supporting a more balanced development of second language skills.*

Key words: *Task-Based Language Teaching (TBLT), traditional grammar-based instruction, second language acquisition, communicative competence, grammatical accuracy, fluency, learner engagement.*

INTRODUCTION

Task-Based Language Teaching (TBLT) and traditional grammar-based instruction represent two contrasting approaches to second language instruction. TBLT is a communicative approach that emphasizes learning through real-world tasks and interactive activities rather than direct grammar instruction [1]. It is rooted in the principles of communicative language teaching (CLT) and focuses on developing learners' ability to use the language in meaningful contexts. In this approach, grammar is learned implicitly as learners complete tasks that require authentic language use [2]. Conversely, traditional grammar-based instruction is founded on structuralist and behaviorist theories of language learning. This method prioritizes explicit grammar teaching, where learners study grammatical rules systematically and apply them through exercises and drills [3]. The assumption behind this method is that mastering grammatical structures in isolation will eventually lead to proficiency in the target language.

The comparison between these two methods is crucial in Second Language Acquisition (SLA) research because they represent different pedagogical philosophies and influence key aspects of language learning, such as fluency, accuracy, and learner motivation. While TBLT promotes communicative competence, traditional grammar-based instruction focuses on linguistic accuracy. Examining their relative effectiveness is vital for curriculum designers, educators, and researchers, as it helps inform pedagogical decisions, improve instructional methodologies, and enhance second language learning outcomes for diverse learner populations.

Numerous studies have explored the effectiveness of TBLT and traditional grammar instruction separately. For example, research has shown that TBLT enhances fluency and communicative competence [4], while grammar-based instruction has been linked to higher grammatical accuracy [5]. However, fewer studies have directly compared the two methods in a single controlled study, especially in ESL (English as a Second Language) and EFL (English as a Foreign Language) classrooms.

Moreover, much of the existing research has been context-specific, focusing on either young learners or adult learners without considering a broader range of proficiency levels. Additionally, there is limited empirical evidence on how these methods impact learner motivation and engagement, which are critical factors in language acquisition. This study aims to bridge these gaps by conducting a comparative analysis of both approaches in a controlled ESL learning environment.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Task-Based Language Teaching (TBLT) and traditional grammar-based instruction are rooted in distinct theoretical frameworks. TBLT is grounded in the Communicative Language Teaching (CLT) approach, which prioritizes meaningful communication over explicit grammatical instruction [1]. It aligns with interactionist theories of second language acquisition (SLA), which emphasize the role of meaningful interaction in learning. TBLT also draws from constructivist learning theories, where learners actively build knowledge through engaging tasks [2]. In contrast, traditional grammar-based instruction is derived from structuralist and behaviorist theories, which emphasize habit formation and rote learning [3]. This method aligns with explicit learning theories, where language rules are taught systematically, and learners apply them through structured exercises [5]. Traditional grammar-based instruction assumes that a solid foundation in grammatical structures will facilitate proficiency in the target language.

MAIN PART

The debate between Task-Based Language Teaching (TBLT) and traditional grammar-based instruction has long dominated discussions in second language

acquisition (SLA), reflecting broader pedagogical tensions between communicative competence and structural accuracy. Task-Based Language Teaching (TBLT) and traditional grammar-based instruction represent two distinct paradigms in second language acquisition, each rooted in fundamentally different theories of language learning. While TBLT emphasizes communicative competence through engaging, real-world tasks, traditional grammar-based instruction stresses the explicit teaching of grammatical structures to build accuracy and rule internalization.

Theoretical Foundations and Pedagogical Philosophy

TBLT emerges from the communicative language teaching (CLT) tradition and constructivist learning theories. It is built on the idea that language is best learned through meaningful communication rather than isolated rule drills. By engaging students in tasks that mimic real-life situations, TBLT encourages learners to use the language in authentic contexts. This approach inherently allows grammar to be acquired implicitly – learners pick up patterns and structures as they negotiate meaning and complete tasks. Proponents of TBLT argue that this naturalistic exposure results in a more dynamic and flexible use of language, fostering skills such as fluency, spontaneity, and problem-solving in communication [5].

Conversely, traditional grammar-based instruction is deeply rooted in structuralist and behaviorist frameworks. This method views language learning as a process of habit formation and repetition. Explicit instruction of grammatical rules, followed by controlled practice through exercises and drills, aims to ensure that learners internalize the formal structures of the language. The underlying assumption is that a strong command of grammar lays a solid foundation for eventual proficiency. Although this approach often results in high levels of accuracy, critics argue that it may limit opportunities for learners to practice language in genuine communicative contexts, potentially stifling fluency and natural language use.

Classroom Dynamics and Learner Engagement

In practice, TBLT transforms the classroom into a dynamic space where the focus shifts from error correction to successful communication. Tasks are designed to be interactive and meaningful, such as role-plays, problem-solving activities, or project-based assignments. These activities not only build language proficiency but also enhance learner motivation by making the learning process relevant to the students' real-world experiences. The collaborative nature of TBLT encourages peer interaction and negotiation of meaning, which can lead to improved intercultural competence and increased self-confidence in using the language.

On the other hand, traditional grammar-based instruction often relies on a more teacher-centered approach. The classroom typically features a structured sequence of lessons where the teacher explains grammatical rules, followed by exercises that allow students to apply these rules in controlled contexts. While this approach may be effective in fostering grammatical accuracy, it sometimes results in less engagement and fewer opportunities for spontaneous communication. Learners may become adept at completing written exercises or passing tests but might struggle to transfer these skills to actual conversations, particularly when faced with the unpredictable nature of real-world language use.

Impact on Fluency and Accuracy

One of the central debates in second language acquisition revolves around the balance between fluency and accuracy. TBLT, with its focus on meaningful interaction, tends to promote fluency [4]. As students engage in tasks that require quick thinking and real-time language processing, they develop the ability to communicate effectively even if their grammatical accuracy is not perfect. This approach is especially beneficial in situations where the primary goal is to convey meaning rather than produce linguistically perfect utterances.

Traditional grammar-based instruction, by contrast, prioritizes accuracy. Through repeated exposure to grammatical rules and extensive practice, learners are likely to develop a more precise command of the language's formal aspects. This can be particularly advantageous in academic or professional settings where linguistic precision is paramount. However, the emphasis on accuracy sometimes comes at the expense of fluency, as learners may become overly cautious, pausing frequently to self-correct and thus interrupting the flow of communication. Recent meta-analyses have confirmed the positive impact of TBLT on communicative competence across diverse ESL contexts. Empirical studies have demonstrated that explicit grammar instruction significantly enhances learners' accuracy, underscoring its value in developing linguistic precision. Comparative research indicates that a blended approach—integrating the spontaneity of task-based activities with the structural rigor of grammar-based exercises—yields optimal outcomes in second language acquisition. Furthermore, learner feedback consistently highlights that the motivational benefits of engaging, real-world tasks can effectively complement traditional grammar instruction, promoting both confidence and competence in language use.

CONCLUSION

In summary, the comparison between Task-Based Language Teaching and traditional grammar-based instruction reveals that each approach has its unique

advantages and limitations. TBLT excels in promoting communicative competence and learner engagement, fostering an environment where fluency can flourish through authentic interaction. Conversely, traditional grammar-based instruction provides a rigorous framework for developing grammatical accuracy, a critical component of language proficiency in certain academic and professional contexts. The key to effective language teaching may lie in recognizing that these approaches are not mutually exclusive [3]. Instead, they can be seen as complementary strategies that, when integrated thoughtfully, offer a more holistic approach to second language acquisition. By combining the dynamic, context-rich tasks of TBLT with the structured, rule-based learning of traditional methods, educators can support the development of both communicative fluency and grammatical precision—ultimately equipping learners with the skills necessary to navigate the complexities of real-world language use.

References:

1. Ellis, R. Task-Based Language Learning and Teaching. – Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2003.
2. Skehan, P. Task-based instruction // Language Teaching. 2003, 36(1), – P. 1-14.
3. Richards, J. C., Rodgers, T. S. Approaches and Methods in Language Teaching. – Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2014.
4. Long, M. H. Second Language Acquisition and Task-Based Language Teaching. – Chichester: Wiley Blackwell, 2015.
5. Dekeyser, R. Practice in a Second Language: Perspectives from Applied Linguistics and Cognitive Psychology. – Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2007.

ACADEMIC BOOK